		MN
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/657,604	BRANDENBURG ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian La Forgia	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication apply All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is si	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to 16 July 2007.		·
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23 and 25-37</u> .		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv  5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date  (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR	e been received. e been received in Application ocuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application.  Initted. Note the attached EXA res reason(s) why the oath or lest be submitted. Ison's Patent Drawing Review of Samendment / Comment or Institute of the submitted.	a reply complying with the requirements  MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.  ( PTO-948) attached in the Office action of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in  6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	the header according to 37 CF osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	R 1.121(d). :RIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413),
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),		Mail Date Amendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date	e ⊠ Evaminar's	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
<ol> <li>Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ol>		

Application/Control Number: 09/657,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment of 16 July 2007 has been noted and made of record.

- 2. Claims 1-23 and 35-37 have been presented for examination.
- 3. Claim 24 has been cancelled as per Applicant's request.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments and amendments, see page 13, filed 16 July 2007, with respect to claims 1-36 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 1-36 has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments, see pages 13 and 14, filed 16 July 2007, with respect to claims 1-9, 23-25, 28, 29, and 31-34 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-9, 23-25, 28, 29, and 31-34 has been withdrawn.
- 6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 17-19, filed 16 July 2007, with respect to claim 37 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 37 has been withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. As noted above, the Examiner agrees with the Applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach a filter engine for determining whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature. Since the Examiner could not find any teachings in the prior art of a filter engine for determining whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature, claims 1-9, 23-25, 28, 29, and 31-34 are therefore novel and non-obvious.
- 8. As noted above, the Examiner agrees with the Applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach a filter for determining whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature.

  Since the Examiner could not find any teachings in the prior art of a filter engine for determining

Art Unit: 2131

whether an HTTP request requires a digital signature, claim 37 is therefore novel and nonobvious.

9. Claims 17-22, 26, 27, 30, 35 and 36 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter, but were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Those rejections have since been overcome, and claims 17-22, 26, 27, 30, 35, and 36 are allowed.

## Conclusion

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christian LaForgia Patent Examiner Art Unit 2131 Application/Control Number: 09/657,604

Art Unit: 2131

clf

Page 4